Improvement of sleep quality by controlled-release melatonin in benzodiazepine-treated elderly insomniacs
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Abstract

Benzodiazepines are widely used in the elderly population for the initiation of sleep. However, very frequently, complaints about poor sleep maintenance persist despite benzodiazepine treatment. Melatonin, a hormone produced by the pineal gland at night, is involved in the regulation of the sleep/wake cycle. Melatonin production decreases with age and can also be inhibited by benzodiazepines. We have recently reported on the association between insomnia and impaired melatonin output in the elderly. In the present study we have investigated the efficacy of melatonin replacement therapy in improving sleep in 21 elderly subjects who have been taking benzodiazepines and had low melatonin output. In a randomized, double-blind, crossover designed study the subjects were treated for three weeks with 2 mg per night of controlled-release melatonin and for 3 weeks with placebo, 2 h before desired bedtime with a 1-week washout period between treatment periods. Subjects’ sleep was assessed by wrist actigraphy. Melatonin treatment significantly increased sleep efficiency and total sleep time and decreased wake after sleep onset, sleep latency, number of awakenings and fragmental index, as compared to placebo. The results of our study indicate that melatonin replacement therapy can improve sleep quality in the elderly and that the beneficial effects are augmented in the presence of benzodiazepines. Copyright © 1997 Elsevier Science Ireland Ltd.
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1. Introduction

The incidence of sleep disturbances increases with age; in comparison to younger adults, healthy older individuals take a longer time to fall asleep, awaken frequently during night, have less slow-wave sleep and decreased total sleep time. The high frequency of sleep complaints reported in the aged may result from a primary endogenous, age-related sleep disorder, or from the increased incidence of diseases, which may cause secondary sleep disorders. The latter include chronic diseases and particularly those associated with pain, dyspnea, nocturia and gastrointestinal discomfort as well as side effects of drugs. Several studies indicate an age related deterioration of the circadian time-keeping system in man; consequently, the amplitudes of core body temperature and endocrine rhythms are reduced in old age (Arendt, 1988). Melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxy-tryptamine), a hormone produced by the pineal gland at night, can reset sleep onset in humans through its synchronising effect on the internal biological clock (Arendt et al., 1985; Dawson and Encel, 1993). Melatonin is rapidly metabolized by the liver (Kveder and McIssac, 1961) and over 85% is eliminated in the urine as 6-sulphatoxy-melatonin (6-S-MT) (Kopin et al., 1961; Waldhauser et al., 1984). Therefore, the urinary excretion of 6-S-MT can serve as a reliable measure of serum melatonin profile (Arendt et al., 1985). Serum melatonin concentrations decrease in old age (Sharma et al., 1989). We reported that in healthy elderly people suffering from insomnia, urinary 6-S-MT was significantly lower and its onset and peak time delayed, in comparison to age-matched controls with no sleep disorders (Haimov et al., 1994). Similarly, in elderly females, 6-sulfatoxy melatonin levels were found to be significantly lower in poor compared to good sleepers (Lushington et al., 1995).

These findings suggest that primary impairment in melatonin production may contribute to the increased incidence of sleep disorders in the aged, apart from the secondary factors. There is an age related increase in drug consumption due to the increase in age-related diseases, and this too may interfere with normal sleep. Indeed, the incidence of drug-related sleep disorders also increases with age and is found in 7% of the elderly but only in 0.1% of young adults.

Intriguing in particular is the relationship between melatonin and benzodiazepines, the most commonly used drugs in the treatment of insomnia. Benzodiazepines can potentiate gamma-amino-butyric acid (GABA) –induced inhibition of melatonin synthesis and secretion in some species including man. They suppress the nocturnal rise in plasma melatonin and shift its day–night rhythmicity (McIntyre et al., 1988).

The effects of exogenous melatonin on sleep have been recently reviewed (Dawson and Encel, 1993). Oral, intranasal and intravenous administration of melatonin has been reported to enhance daytime sleep in various species including man, but its effect on nocturnal sleep is equivocal. Melatonin is short-lived and its half life is
only 40–50 min; peak serum concentrations are reached within 20 min of oral
administration and then decay rapidly. Hence, in order to maintain effective serum
concentrations of melatonin for several hours, high doses or repeated administra-
tion of low doses are required. We therefore decided to use a controlled-release
formulation of melatonin which was designed to maintain effective levels of the
hormone throughout the night. We found previously that in elderly people with
reduced melatonin production, treatment with controlled-release melatonin in-
creased sleep maintenance (Garfinkel et al., 1995), sleep latency improved but not
significantly. On the other hand treatment with the normal fast-release prepara-
tion of melatonin improved sleep initiation only (Haimov et al., 1995). The main
objectives of this investigation were to measure 6-S-MT excretion in unhealthy
elderly insomniac patients who were receiving benzodiazepines, and to assess the
effect of controlled-release melatonin replacement therapy on the quality of their
sleep.

2. Materials and methods

The study population was recruited following a lecture on sleep disorders in a
residential center for senior citizens. Twenty-one independently living elderly sub-
jects (13 men, eight women; mean age 79 (range, 68–93) years (S.D. = 5.2))
participated. They all complained of long-term insomnia. The participants suffered
from a variety of chronic diseases: hypertension was present in six, ischemic heart
disease in 10, spondyloarthrosis in four, Parkinson’s disease in three and diabetes
mellitus in two. None had liver or renal problems (serum creatinine less than 1.5
mg/dl). All subjects used at least one kind of benzodiazepine-containing medica-
tion. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and health
authorities.

Before entering the study, subjects were awoken at 3-h intervals overnight
(18:00–06:00 h), with the aid of an expert technician. Urine was collected, volume
was measured and urine samples (1 ml) from each collection period were then
frozen until assayed. Urinary 6-S-MT was assayed in duplicate by RIA (Stockgrand
Ltd., Surrey, UK). Several days afterwards, the quality of sleep was objectively
assessed in each subject by wrist-actigraphy for three consecutive nights. Actigraphy
is an established method, based on wrist movements, which can automatically
discriminate sleep–wake patterns. Acrigraphic estimates of sleep parameters show
high correlations with corresponding parameters scored by polysomnography
(0.82–0.90, \( P < 0.0001 \); Cole et al., 1992). Furthermore, the actigraph was found to
be sufficiently sensitive to detect the effects of hypnotic treatment in elderly subjects
(Brooks et al., 1993). Actigraphy enables monitoring of the natural circumstances
of sleep with minimal distortions, while subjects are sleeping at home in their own
beds, and it is most suitable for long-term studies.

The actigraph used by us (Somnitor™, Neurim Pharmaceuticals, Tel-Aviv,
Israel), is a small computerized device attached to the wrist that continuously
records wrist movements over several consecutive nights. Motion recordings are
analyzed using an automatic scoring algorithm to determine sleep parameters. Latency (time between bedtime and sleep onset), Efficiency (percent of total time asleep out of total time in bed), total sleep time (TST, time spent asleep after sleep onset), wake after sleep onset (WASO, mid-sleep arousal time after sleep onset), fragmental index (percentage of number of quiet episodes which are shorter than 1 min from the total quiet episodes) and the number of awakenings (the total number of awakenings during sleep).

On a randomized, double-blind crossover basis, the subjects were given tablets of either 2 mg of controlled-release melatonin (Circadin™, Neurim Pharmaceuticals, Tel-Aviv, Israel) or a placebo identical in appearance, which contained the inactive ingredients but not melatonin. The tablets were taken 2 h before desired bedtime, for a period of 3 weeks. This treatment period was followed by a wash-out period of 1 week and then by another 3-week period of treatment with the other preparation (melatonin or placebo). During the treatment periods, patients, investigators and co-workers were blinded to the drug given. Access to the randomization code was given to the pharmacist who prepared the tablets in containers. Treatment codes were opened after all study results were recruited and analyzed. Patients’ sleep data were analyzed by means of six t-tests for paired samples (one for every parameter: fragmental index, sleep efficiency, sleep latency, waso, TST, and number of awakenings), comparisons were made between placebo and melatonin treatments. In addition, in order to check if the timing of the treatment (the first treatment administered: placebo or melatonin) had any effect by itself or interacted with the difference between placebo and melatonin, an analysis of variance for repeated measurements was performed for every index, while the repeated measurements were the index outcomes under placebo and melatonin, and with a between subjects factor of first treatment administered (placebo or melatonin).

3. Results

The mean amount of urinary 6-S-MT was higher at 06:00 h (1.26 µg/h; range, 0.03–2.2 µg/h) than at the other collection periods (Fig. 1). In all of these subjects, the peak excretion of 6-S-MT was delayed, (beginning at 03:00 h instead of midnight in age-matched individuals without insomnia). The absolute 6-S-MT levels were low (mean 0.93 µg/h, range 0.03–2.1 µg/h) at 02:00 h, which is the normal peak time, in comparison to 5.3 µg/h in young adults and 3.7 µg/h in age-matched healthy individuals without sleep disturbances (Haimov et al., 1994). Sleep quality of the subjects was monitored at the end of each 3-week treatment period, with either melatonin or placebo, for three consecutive nights each. For each parameter, the average of three nights was calculated for all subjects. Sleep parameters, were subjected to t-tests for paired samples (n = 21). All 21 subjects completed the study and no data were missing. The means (S.D.) of the different sleep parameters obtained after the placebo and melatonin treatment periods are presented in Table 1. In addition, the percent improvement was calculated for each parameter and is depicted in Fig. 2.
Table 1
Sleep parameters obtained by actigraphy (mean (SD), three-night average for each subject)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Latency (min)</th>
<th>Fragmental index (%)</th>
<th>Total sleep time (min)</th>
<th>Number of awakenings</th>
<th>WASO (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>75.23 (2.2)</td>
<td>29.24 (6.2)</td>
<td>43.97 (2.3)</td>
<td>351.02 (14.9)</td>
<td>16.17 (1.45)</td>
<td>76.80 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonin</td>
<td>85.44 (2.4)</td>
<td>11.75 (2.0)</td>
<td>35.50 (3.0)</td>
<td>384.06 (14.6)</td>
<td>11.41 (1.4)</td>
<td>39.58 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sleep efficiency was significantly higher for the melatonin treatment as compared to placebo ($t(20) = -5.60$, $P < 0.001$). The order of treatment administration had no main effect ($F(1,19) = 0.40$, $P = 0.53$), and the difference between placebo and melatonin was similar for those who received placebo and those who received melatonin first (no interaction, $F(1,19) = 0.23$, $P = 0.638$). A significant treatment effect was found (repeated measurements $F(1,16) = 30.37$, $P < 0.001$).
Sleep latency was significantly shorter for the melatonin treatment as compared to placebo \((t(20) = 2.99, P = 0.007)\). The order of treatment administration had no main effect \((F(1,19) = 0.28, P = 0.603)\), and the difference between placebo and melatonin was similar for those who received placebo and those who received melatonin first (no interaction, \(F(1,19) = 0.34, P = 0.566\)). A significant treatment effect was found (repeated measurements \(F(1.16) = 8.49, P = 0.009\)).

Wake after sleep onset was significantly shorter for the melatonin treatment as compared to placebo \((t(20) = 4.51, P < 0.001)\). The order of treatment administration had no main effect \((F(1,19) = 0.92, P = 0.350)\), and the difference between placebo and melatonin was similar for those who received placebo and those who received melatonin first (no interaction, \(F(1,19) = 0.52, P = 0.482\)). A significant treatment effect was found (repeated measurements \(F(1.16) = 19.50, P < 0.001\)).

Total sleep time was significantly longer for the melatonin treatment as compared to placebo \((t(20) = 2.39, P = 0.027)\). The order of treatment administration had no main effect \((F(1,19) = 0.06, P = 0.803)\), and the difference between placebo and melatonin was similar for those who received placebo and those who received melatonin first (no interaction, \(F(1,19) = 0.01, P = 0.907\)). A significant treatment effect was found (repeated measurements \(F(1.16) = 5.38, P = 0.032\)).

Fragmental index was significantly lower for the melatonin treatment as compared to placebo \((t(20) = 2.67, P = 0.015)\). The order of treatment administration had no main effect \((F(1,19) = 0.61, P = 0.444)\), and the difference between placebo and melatonin was similar for those who received placebo and those who received melatonin first (no interaction, \(F(1,19) = 1.05, P = 0.317\)). A significant treatment effect was found (repeated measurements \(F(1.16) = 7.38, P = 0.014\)).

The number of awakenings was significantly lower for the melatonin the placebo \((t(20) = 3.28, P = 0.004)\). The order of treatment administration had no main effect \((F(1,19) = 1.07, P = 0.313)\), and the difference between placebo and melatonin was similar for those who received placebo and those who received melatonin first (no interaction, \(F(1,19) = 1.17, P = 0.293\)). A significant treatment effect was found.
(a) The potentiation of benzodiazepine and melatonin binding to their receptors. In rats, melatonin augments GABA and benzodiazepine binding to the brain membranes (Gomar et al., 1993). In addition, pinealectomy results in a significant decrease while melatonin restores benzodiazepine receptor density in the cerebral cortex of the rat (Acuna-Castroviejo and Cardinali, 1986; Cardinali et al., 1986). Furthermore, we have recently found that in the rat brain benzodiazepines suppress melatonin binding and that this effect can be abrogated by melatonin supplementation (Atsmon et al., 1996).

(b) Replacement of endogenous melatonin which may be inhibited by both benzodiazepines and aging. Due to the adverse influence of benzodiazepines on melatonin secretion, the beneficial effect of melatonin replacement therapy may be more apparent in patients who are taking benzodiazepines than in those who are not. Additional studies will be required to substantiate a beneficial role for melatonin in alleviating symptoms of tolerance and/or addiction which are known to occur in chronic benzodiazepine users.
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